

ACCESS TO BANKING SERVICES BY NBFIs

a World Outlook



Leon Isaacs

Leon Isaacs



IMTC WORLD 2015
Miami Beach, FL
Nov 9-12, 2015

What I will cover

- Overview
- Drivers of the issue
- Impact
- Looking toward solutions

Overview

Situations where financial institutions terminate or restrict business relationships with categories of customer - FATF-GAFI

Affecting a range of customer categories:

- Embassies
- Current & former government officials and their families
- Charities/NGOs
- Legal marijuana dispensaries
- Correspondent banks
- Digital currency operators
- Crowdfunding platforms
- Gambling businesses
- Jewellers

Overview

- **US**
 - 2001 – Patriot Act
 - 2005 – Joint Statement issued by US Treasury and federal banking regulators stating banks not responsible for monitoring AML compliance by their MSB customers
 - 2013 - Operation Choke Point
- **Canada** – Anecdotal reports of difficulty MSBs have in accessing services
- **UK**
 - Almost all high street banks have exited MSB sector.
 - HSBC exited across 2011-2012
 - Barclays exited in 2013.
- **Australia** – Westpac announced exit of sector on 31 March 2015, last bank standing in this space
- **Dominican Republic** – Closure of accounts for exchange sector. Reports of strong pressure from US based clearing banks to limit access to national banks

Drivers



Drivers

Financial Institution	Alleged Offence	Amount Fined	Authority Issuing the Fine
HSBC	Alleged Money Laundering Lapses	\$1.9 Billion	US Justice Department
Standard Chartered	Alleged breach of US Sanctions	\$674 Million	US Federal reserve, Department of Justice, New York Department
Lloyds TSB	Alleged breach of US Sanctions	\$350 Million	US Justice Department
Western Union	Alleged Money Laundering Lapses	\$94 Million	State of Arizona
Moneygram	Weakness in AML Controls	\$100 Million	US Justice Department
Coutts & Co	Weakness in AML Controls	£8.75 Million	Financial Conduct Authority
Guaranty Trust Bank	Weakness in AML Controls	£525 Thousand	Financial Conduct Authority
Habib Bank AG Zurich	Weakness in AML Controls	£525 Thousand	Financial Conduct Authority
Turkish Bank (UK) Ltd	Weakness in AML Controls	£294 Thousand	Financial Conduct Authority

Drivers

“risk outweighs the benefit”

- There is a changing relationship to risk, greater emphasis on risk-management
- Banks are seeing soaring compliance costs
 - Cost of monitoring customer transactions and managing data
 - Investigating suspicious transactions and acting on them
 - Hiring compliance specialists and investing in new systems
 - Costs of customer audits and KYC
- Take very seriously items published by FATF and US regulators, as well as by local regulators and law enforcement
- However, there is a lack of confidence in the supervision of MSBs
- Maintaining relationships in high-risk categories not profitable or in the interest of the bank. Particularly when there is potential jail time on the table.

Impact - **Somalia**

- Remittances are an important lifeline, comprising approximately 60% of beneficiary's annual income
- With lack of strong financial infrastructure, regulators, supervision, coupled with threats from Al-Shabaab, seen as a very high-risk geography
- **UK** – after Barclay's exited the MSB sector, it is fair to say that no Somali focused operator has a direct bank account. They are instead using costly 3rd party cash collection services
- **US** –Merchants Bank of California closed Somali MTO accounts
- These actions threaten to exclude Somalia from the formal global financial system

Impact – Mexico

“Global banks have largely abandoned clearing and remittance handling activities in Mexico”

- Mexican banks and remittance companies struggling to find banks that will provide service of converting pesos to US dollars for processing payments – dollar clearing
- Over the past 5 years US banks have closed over 50 correspondent accounts for Mexican banks
- Scrutiny for big banks’ Mexican operations — and Mexico’s domestic banks — intensified after HSBC’s \$1.9bn for allegedly laundering money for drug cartels and violating sanctions.
- Affects remittance companies as banks are refusing to receive US dollar deposits and limits access by individuals and companies to global banking system.

Looking toward solutions

It is important to point out that there is no single solution and that many approaches may well be needed to make progress. Potential solutions include:

1. Form a stakeholder group that can fully identify the issues and is empowered to make specific recommendations, implement solutions, etc.
2. More guidance from regulators
3. Banks should be encouraged to publish criteria for account opening (or remaining open) so that MSBs can meet them
4. Work with remittance industry to address areas for improvement
5. Consider establishing an independent appeals process for companies who have their new account application rejected or an account closed

Looking toward solutions

6. Strengthen link between domestic and international agendas around remittances – work with the international community
7. Alignment of approach across different regulators and supervisors at a Federal and State level. Supervision of MTOs to be conducted in a co-ordinated manner and in a way that gives confidence to banks
8. Categorise different risk challenges. Develop alternative guidance/support for certain high risk corridors
9. Stricter AML/CFT training requirements for MSBs
10. Consider the proposition that SME MSB businesses have a right to a bank account.

Leon Isaacs
CEO, DMA
Leon.Isaacs@developingmarkets.com
+44 (0)203 117 2500